

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	39/824,966
	Filing Date	4/03/2003
	First Named Inventor	Konami
	Art Unit	2875
	Examiner Name	PAYNE, Sharon E.
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	99-070-JK

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard
Remarks Express mail Label #: EV03221716245		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual	Lambert & Associates
Signature	Jason W. Bryan, Esq.
Date	4/28/03

Express mailing CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date: 4/28/03	
Typed or printed	Jason W. Bryan
Signature	Jason W. Bryan
Date	4/28/03

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Apostol Konomi

Application No.: 09/824,966

Filed: 04/03/2001

Title: Double-sided Edge Lighting Type Display Box

Attorney Docket No.: 99-070-JK

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Group Art Unit: 2875

Examiner: Payne, Sharon E.

Response

4
5-2-03
J. Gutis**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Dear Sir,

In response to the office action dated March 5, 2003, please amend this application and reconsider claims as follows:

Remarks:

In the office action the Examiner considered and rejected claims 1-17, and 19. By this reply, applicant amends claim 19, and offers arguments in favor of withdrawing of rejection of all claims.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of claim 1-17, and 19, and offers the following arguments in support of withdrawing rejections:

Applicant's patent application stresses that the panel's design is based on the terms of symmetry in plane and in space. (Paragraphs 46, 50, 58, 60, 61, 75, 76, 78). This panel has a center O that is the symmetrical center of the panel. (Paragraph 61) Every point in the panel and every part of the panel has it's own symmetrical point and part respectively in connection to the center O of the panel. As a result of this symmetry